Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/679,095	COHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Malcolm D. Cribbs	2115	

All Participants:

(1) *Malcolm D. Cribbs*.

(2) *Roy Rhee*.

Status of Application: *Pending*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *10 July 2006*

Time: *04:30 PM*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Rejections discussed were USC 112 2nd .

Claims discussed:
The claim discussed was claim 21.

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant amended claim 21 to overcome the USC 112 2nd rejection therefore the application is allowable.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

THOMAS LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)